Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,353	KANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SUSAN HANLEY	1651
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>after nonfinal action</u>		
(1) <u>Susan Hanley</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Sean Myers-Payne</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 February 2008	Time: <u>1:00</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 4, 7-9, 11 and 12		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Mr. Myers-Payne agreed to the proposed Examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview</li> </ul>		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
		i
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		